

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE: Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming
Products Liability Litigation

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN)

This Document Relates to All Actions.

PLAINTIFF(S)

Michael English

VS.

3M COMPANY AND ARIZANT
HEALTHCARE, INC.

**AMENDED EXHIBIT B TO
PRETRIAL ORDER #8 –
FIRST AMENDED MASTER SHORT
FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY
TRIAL DEMAND**

1. Plaintiff, Michael English, states and brings this civil action in MDL No. 15-2666, entitled *In Re: Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Products Liability Litigation*. Plaintiff(s) [is/are] filing this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Pretrial Order #8 of this Court.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Plaintiff, Michael English, is a resident and citizen of the State of Arizona and claims damages as set forth below.

3. Plaintiff's Spouse, n/a, is a resident and citizen of the State of n/a, and claims damages as set forth below.

[Cross out Spousal Claim if not applicable.]

4. Jurisdiction is proper based upon diversity of Citizenship.

5. Proper Venue: The District Court in which remand trial is proper and where

this Complaint would have been filed absent the direct filing order by this Court is
Arizona District Court.

6. Plaintiff brings this action *[check the applicable designation]*:

☒

On behalf of [himself/herself];

☐

In a representative capacity as the _____ of the
_____ having been duly appointed as the
_____ by the _____ Court of
_____. A copy of the Letters of Administration
for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are
required for the commencement of such a claim by the Probate,
Surrogate or other appropriate court of the jurisdiction of the decedent.

[Cross out if not applicable.]

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. On or about March 19, 2012, Plaintiff underwent surgery
during which the Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming system (hereinafter “Bair Hugger”) was
used during the course and scope of [his/her] left total knee replacement [Type
of Surgery] at the Cambridge Hospital, 14963 Cambridge St., [medical
center and address], in Cambridge, MA 02139 [city and state], by
Dr. William Lipman.

8. Contaminants introduced into Plaintiff’s open surgical wound as a direct and
proximate result of use of the Bair Hugger during the subject surgery resulted in Plaintiff
developing a periprosthetic joint infection (“PJI”), also known as a deep joint infection
(“DJI”). The Pathogen identified was staphylococcus (*if known*).

9. As a result of Plaintiff's infection caused by the Bair Hugger, Plaintiff has undergone arthroscopy, antibiotic spacer, multiple irrigation and debridements and revisions [Describe treatment(s) received, e.g., revision arthroplasty, wound vac treatment, multiple staged procedures, etc.] on or about July 2014-June 2015, at Mount Auburn Hospital and New England Baptist Hospital [medical center(s) and address(es)] by Dr(s). Michael Mason and Anthony Schena. [Cross out if not applicable.]

ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES

10. (a) Plaintiff claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable):

- ☒ INJURY TO HERSELF/HIMSELF
- ☐ INJURY TO THE PERSON REPRESENTED
- ☐ WRONGFUL DEATH
- ☐ SURVIVORSHIP ACTION
- ☒ ECONOMIC LOSS

(b) Plaintiff's spouse claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable): [Cross out if not applicable.]

- ☐ LOSS OF SERVICES
- ☐ LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

11. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

DEFENDANT-SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

12. The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein adopted by reference (check all that are applicable):

- ☒ FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE;

☒

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - STRICT LIABILITY;

☒

FAILURE TO WARN

☒

DEFECTIVE DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE

☒

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY;

☒

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION- BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY LAW OF THE STATE OF Arizona, Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 47-2314, et seq;

☒

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA PREVENTION OF CONSUMER FRAUD ACT;

☒

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION – VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT;

☒

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT;

☒

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION- VIOLATION OF THE MINNESOTA FALSE ADVERTISING ACT;

☒

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION- CONSUMER FRAUD AND/OR UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER LAW OF THE STATE OF Arizona, Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 44-1522, et seq ;

☒

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION – NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION;

☒

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION- FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION;

☒

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION – FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT;

☐

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION – LOSS OF CONSORTIUM; and

☒

FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION – UNJUST ENRICHMENT.

In addition to the above, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional causes of action under applicable state law:

[Cross out if not applicable.]

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For compensatory damages;
2. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
3. Statutory damages and relief of the state whose laws will govern this action;
4. Costs and expenses of this litigation;
5. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by law;
6. Equitable relief in the nature of disgorgement;
7. Restitution of remedy Defendants' unjust enrichment; and
8. All other relief as the Court deems necessary, just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in Complaint so triable.

Dated: 6/20/2017

Respectfully submitted,
BROWN AND CROUPPEN, P.C.
/s/ Seth Sharrock Webb
SETH SHARROCK WEBB, # 51236
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
sethw@getbc.com
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF